## Amendments to the Claims:

The listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

## **Listing of Claims**:

Claim 1. (Previously Presented) A method of operating a packet switch which comprises a plurality of ingress means, a plurality of egress means, a cross-bar and a controller, the cross-bar being connected between the ingress means and the egress means to transfer multicast and unicast data traffic from the ingress means to the egress means, the method comprising the steps of:-

- a) determining if the data traffic to be transferred is unicast or multicast;
  - b) if the data traffic is unicast, invoking a unicast schedule;
  - c) if the traffic is multicast, invoking a multicast schedule; and
- d) transferring the data traffic in accordance with the invoked schedule; wherein,

step c) comprises forming a multicast cell fanout table containing current fanout requirements for a cell at the head of a multicast queue in each ingress means, setting eligible bits for multicast cells which are currently Serial No. 09/864,870 Response Dated: August 8, 2005 Reply to Office Action Mailed April 7, 2005 Attorney Docket No. 3036/49955

allowed to be scheduled, and determining a priority for each ingress means for sending the cells; and

the step of determining the priority for each ingress means is based on a combination of send opportunities of the ingress means.

## Claims 2.-5. (Cancelled)

- Claim 6. (Previously Submitted) A method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of e) filling a blank multicast schedule in accordance with the priority assigned to each ingress means.
- Claim 7. (Original) A method according to claim 6, wherein step e) comprises the step of:-
- (i) filling the blank schedule with the full fanout of the first priority ingress means.
- Claim 8. (Original) A method according to claim 7, wherein step e) further comprises the step of:-
- (ii) filling in as much of the fanout of the next priority ingress means and subsequent ingress means as possible to complete the schedule.

Serial No. 09/864,870

Response Dated: August 8, 2005

Reply to Office Action Mailed April 7, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 3036/49955

Claim 9. (Original) A method according to claim 8, wherein step (ii)

comprises selecting fanouts of ingress means in accordance with multicast egress

credit allocated to each egress means.

Claim 10. (Cancelled)

Claim 11. (New) A method of operating a packet switch which

comprises a plurality of ingress means, a plurality of egress means, a cross-bar

and a controller, the cross-bar being connected between the ingress means and

the egress means to transfer multicast and unicast data traffic from the ingress

means to the egress means, the method comprising the steps of:-

a) determining if the data traffic to be transferred is unicast or

multicast;

b) if the data traffic is unicast, invoking a unicast schedule;

c) if the traffic is multicast, invoking a multicast schedule; and

d) transferring the data traffic in accordance with the invoked

schedule; wherein,

step c) comprises forming a multicast cell fanout table containing

current fanout requirements for a cell at the head of a multicast queue in each

ingress means, and setting eligible bits for multicast cells which are currently

allowed to be scheduled;

Page 4 of 10

Serial No. 09/864,870

Response Dated: August 8, 2005

Reply to Office Action Mailed April 7, 2005

Attorney Docket No. 3036/49955

each ingress means has a rate associated with multicast traffic, said

rate being represented as a send opportunity every fixed number of cell periods,

the send opportunities of the plurality of ingress means being combined into a

multicast schedule by placing a send opportunity on the next free cell cycle

unless it would overlap with the next send opportunity for the same ingress

means; and in the case of a potential such overlap, stacking multiple send

opportunities in a single cell cycle; and

a priority is determined for each ingress means associated with the

stacked send priorities, based on the combination of send opportunities in the

multicast schedule.

Page 5 of 10